Extraoral chairside digitalization: Clinical reports on a new digital protocol for surgical and prosthetic treatment of completely edentulous patients

Abstract

Aim

Nowadays, virtual planning and the assisted placement of implants in 3-D positions relative to the bone, soft tissue and final planned prosthesis are becoming the gold standard. In order to obtain such visualization, it is necessary to correctly match the anatomical and prosthetic data. The aim of this paper is to present a new extraoral chairside prosthesis scanning protocol for fully edentulous patients.

Materials and methods

This study was designed as a pilot case series study aimed at evaluating the feasibility of a new extraoral chairside prosthesis scanning protocol for guided implant surgery in completely edentulous arches. This new protocol includes 2 extraoral chairside scans using a powdered intraoral scanner. The first part is based on the extraoral chairside digitalization of the current prosthesis with added radiopaque markers made in flowable composite and subsequent imaging superimposition with DICOM data. The second part is based on the extraoral chairside digitalization of conventional scan abutments fixed on a specially designed customized tray, based on the original virtual planning.

Results

Three patients (1 man and 2 women) with a mean age of 58.9 years were treated. A total of 13 implants were placed using a guided approach. All of the patients underwent 2 extraoral chairside digitalizations with no deviation from the original protocol. No implant failed and the prosthetic survival rate was 100%.

Conclusion

Within the limits of this study, it is suggested that extraoral chairside digitalization may provide better accuracy than conventional methods, permitting fast, easy and accurate treatment at a decreased cost. Randomized controlled trials are needed to evaluate predictability and repeatability of this workflow.
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Introduction

Advancements in computerized tomography scanning (cone beam computed tomography [CBCT] technologies), coupled with computer-assisted treatment, have allowed for the virtual planning and assisted placement of implants in 3-D positions relative to the bone, soft tissue and final planned prosthesis.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^5\) In order to obtain such visualization, it is necessary to correctly match the anatomical and prosthetic data. The prosthetic information can be acquired in different ways, and it depends on whether the patient is edentulous or still has remaining teeth. With edentulous patients, it is possible to create a scan prosthesis by converting a functionally and esthetically correct prosthesis into a scan prosthesis by placing radiopaque markers such as gutta-percha hemispheres (double-scan protocol).\(^6\) The double-scan protocol is based on 2 separate sets of DICOM files. It can be used for both partially and completely edentulous patients. The first CBCT scan is of the patient wearing the radiographic guide with the radiopaque markers. The second scan is of the patient’s radiographic guide alone. Converting raw data to 3-D information is done by various software available or by sending the data to the master site of the particular software manufacturers. Noticeable drawbacks of the original double-scan technique are the need for 2 CBCT scans and the associated costs, as well as the technique-sensitive nature of the process. Furthermore, extraoral chairside digitalization allows for easy handling of the controlling factors for the accuracy of impression techniques, compared with intraoral scanning.

In order to overcome these disadvantages, a new digital protocol has been introduced to the profession for the treatment of fully edentulous patients. This newly developed protocol involves 2 parts. The first part (planning) is based on extraoral chairside digitalization of the current prosthesis with added radiopaque markers made in flowable composite and subsequent imaging superimposition with the DICOM data.\(^7\) The advantage of this new technique is that the surgical template obtained is derived from the intraoral scan that is more precise than the one obtained from CBCT. The second part (finalization) is based on the extraoral chairside digitalization of conventional scan abutments fixed on a specially designed customized tray, based on the original virtual planning. The purpose of this article is to present this new extraoral chairside prosthesis scanning protocol for fully edentulous patients.

Materials and methods

This study was designed as a pilot case series study aimed at evaluating the feasibility of a new protocol for guided implant surgery of completely edentulous arches that includes 2 extraoral chairside scans using a powdered intraoral scanner (True Definition Scanner, 3M Italia, Pioltello, Italy). Three patients (1 man and 2 women) with a mean age of 58.9 years were treated. Basically, patients with an adequate pre-existing or a newly developed removable complete dental prosthesis in at least one jaw and requiring an implant-supported rehabilitation were considered eligible for this pilot study and consecutively treated in a private center in Rome, Italy. The study was conducted in accordance with the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki of 1964 for biomedical research involving human subjects, as amended in 2008. The patients were duly informed about the nature of the study. Written informed consent to surgical treatment was obtained from each patient.

Extraoral chairside digitalization of the current prosthesis

The patient’s medical history was collected, preoperative photographs and radiographs were obtained, and periodontal screening was performed for initial evaluation. During the clinical examination, the existing removable complete dental prosthesis was evaluated for function and esthetics. Then, the fit was carefully assessed, rebasing the existing prosthesis directly chairside if needed (Fig. 1). The prosthetically driven planning workflow started by adding 6–8 drops of flowable composite to the existing prosthesis (Fig. 2). Then, the patient underwent a CBCT scan (CRANEX 3Dx, SOREDEX, Tuusula, Finland) wearing the modified dental prosthesis. A wax bite was used to separate the dental arches (Fig. 3). The second scan was for the extraoral chairside digitalization of the prosthesis with added radiopaque markers (True Definition Scanner). In accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions, the entire area to be scanned was powered just before scanning (Fig. 4). The STL and DICOM data were imported into a
Extraoral chairside digitalization of the final implant positions

After osseointegration, extraoral chairside digitalization of the final implant positions was performed using dedicated scan abutments (Type AQ, New Ancorvis; Fig. 6) fixed intraorally on a specially designed customized tray based on the approved prosthetic setup (Figs. 7 & 8). In accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions, before scanning (True Definition Scanner), the entire area to be scanned was powdered lightly (Fig. 9). The customized tray was designed maintaining the tooth design, but allowing the screwing on of the scan abutments. The obtained STL files were matched with the previous planning containing all the information about esthetics and function, including occlusal vertical dimension and bite registration in centric relation (Fig. 10).

Definitive restorations were delivered according to the individual treatment plans. Up to 1 year after definitive prosthesis delivery, no implant had failed and the prosthetic survival rate was 100%.

Discussion

Nowadays, guided surgery is aimed at preparing the implant case and placing implants in the correct prosthetically guided positions. Implant-supported overdentures are an accepted and predictable treatment modality for patients with edentulous jaws. Clinical studies have documented high survival rates for observation periods of up to 10 years, a high level of patient satisfaction and an improved quality of life compared with conventional dentures.
However, it should not be forgotten that the improvement of intraoral scanning techniques and technologies can allow us to make the most of the digital workflow for the finalization of the case and consequently to reduce the number of appointments and costs for the patient. Another advantage is the significant reduction in laboratory time and complexity when compared with more conventional approaches that involve fabrication of the bar patterns with acrylic resin, investment, and casting of dental alloys.\textsuperscript{11} Additionally, because the casting step is eliminated, problems associated with the cost of the alloy, control of the investment expansion, and shrinkage of the alloy are also eliminated.\textsuperscript{12–14}

After a careful literature review and correctly analyzing the latest technological developments of intraoral scanners, it was decided to modify the double-scan technique proposed by Van Steenberghe et al. that provided for 2 CBCT scans.\textsuperscript{6} In the proposed technique, the second scan results in the extraoral digitalization of the radiographic guide with added 3-D radiopaque markers. The advantage of this new technique is that the surgical template obtained is derived from the intraoral scan that is more precise than the one obtained from CBCT.
Presently, after implant osseointegration, the best way to finalize the case is to take a definitive impression of the implants and to use the temporary prosthesis, if present and functionally and esthetically suitable, to articulate the opposite arch cast to incorporate into the articulator functional information on the provisional (function, vertical dimension, centric relation, esthetics). With the new proposed impression tray, which is derived from the prosthetic setup used for the guided surgery, then already approved by the clinician and the patient, we transfer to the dental technician, with a single appointment, the final implant positions, the centric relation, the vertical dimension, and the esthetic and functional parameters. The limits of this technique are the management of soft tissue, which may require a second scan of the tissue with the scan abutments, always in the same session. The advantage is also that the scan of this new modified tray can be done outside the patient’s mouth, reducing the discomfort for the patient and increasing the accuracy.

Conclusion

Extraoral chairside digitalization may provide better accuracy than conventional methods, permitting fast, easy and accurate treatments at a decreased cost. Randomized controlled trials are needed to evaluate predictability and repeatability of this workflow.
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